# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Board of Commissioners Meeting 20 January 2010 – 11:00am

City Hall 911 North 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue

# 11:00am Council Chambers

Call to order - Chairman Brown

Welcome new Board Member Larry Fisher

Acknowledge guests of the Board

Disclosure of conflicts of interest

Agenda - add or delete action or discussion items

# **Action and Discussion Items**

Minutes of November 18, 2009 - Motion to approve and/or amend

Financial Report:

November and December Income and Expenses

General Discussion:

East Center URA/RAD Proposed Disposition of Funds IsoRay Update 2010 Calendar Legislative Update

**Executive Session:** 

Items from staff

Items from commission members

Adjourn

# Executive Session

Matters exist for discussion in an executive session as per I.C. 67-2345 (1) (e)

Motion: "I move that we enter into an executive session as per Idaho Code 67-2345 (1) (e) to consider preliminary negotiations involving matters of trade or commerce in which the PDA may be in competition with other jurisdictions."



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR 911 North 7th Avenue P. O. Box 4169 Pocatello, Idaho 83205-4169 (208) 234-6163 Fax: (208) 234-6297 www.pocatello.us

ROGER W. CHASE Mayor Pocatello City Council: ROGER BRAY RON FRASURE GARY MOORE EVA JOHNSON NYE ROBERT RICHWAY BRIAN UNDERWOOD

November 23, 2009

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Pocatello Development Authority Chair, Secretary & Executive Director

FROM:

Anne Nichols, CAP, Assistant to the Mayor

SUBJECT:

Advisory Board Appointment

The deadline has passed for applications to fill the vacancy on the Pocatello Development Authority. After reviewing the applications we received, Mayor Chase has selected the following individual to serve on the Board:

Larry Fisher

If you have a different recommendation or concerns about the Mayor's selections, please let me know. If I do not hear from you by <u>December 10, 2009</u>, I will place Mr. Fisher's name on the December 17, 2009, City Council agenda for confirmation.

You can reach me at 234-6163 if you have any questions.

Attachments

cc: City Council Members

# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY STATEMENT OF CASH AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009

Cash in Checking	\$182,742.52
Cash in Savings (Wells Fargo Money Market Account)	132,889.20
Cash in held by third parties (Wells Fargo Corporate Trust)	4,789,638.95
Total cash	5,105,270.67
Cash restricted by bond convenants	-2,964,075.00
Total cash available	\$2,141,195.67

# STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVILENTS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

Receipts fo	r the Quart	er			
(	00	Property t	taxes received - Central Corridor		
			October	\$3,112,97	
			November	\$17,284.75	
			December	\$5,426.21	
(	CC	Shaver Pi	harmaceuticals	26,719.00	
G	沂	Service C	charge refunds	53,10	
0	}F	Interest e	arnings on savings - Wells Fargo Managed Cash	3.31	
			arning on Trust Accounts - Wells Fargo Trusts	112.76	
		Total rece	aipts	52,712.10	
Total expen	ditures for	the Quarte	भ		
# 3065	10/21/2009	CC	Quisnos	120,20	
			Luncheon Cost		
# 3066	11/17/2009	GF	Food for Thought	139.58	
			Luncheon Cost		
# 3067	11/17/2009	GF	Darcy Taylor	9.88	
			Soft drinks for November luncheon		
# 3068 1	1/18/2009	CC	Varsity Square LLC	100,000.00	
			Grant for park development	·	
# 3069	12/7/2009	CC	Mickelson Construction Inc.	29,754.00	
		•	Farmer project construction		
N/A 1	10/20/2009	GF	Bank service charge	29.78	
			Total expenditures	130,053.44	
			Net change in cash	-\$77,341.34	
Cash balances as of December 31, 2009 \$5,105,270.67					
Cash baland	\$5,182,612.01				
	-\$77,341.34				

CC = Central Corridor, NY = North Yellowstone, GF = General Fund, NO=Naval Ordinance

# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY CASH ANALYSIS FOR THE QUARTER ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009

	Balance 30-Sep-09	Balance 31-Dec-09	Change in Balance
Total Cash:			
General Fund	000 740 00	40 270 44	
Cash in checking	\$69,748.90	-\$3,778.44	-\$73,527.34
Money Market Account	132,885.89	132,889.20	\$3.31
Total General Fund Cash	202,634.79	129,110.76	-\$73,524.03
Central Corridor District	44 707 00	7 777 04	A0 202 0T
Cash in checking	11,707.98	7,777.91	-\$3,930.07
Bond Trust Fund (Wells Fargo)	0.08	0.08	\$0.00
Revenue Allocation Trust Fund (Wells)	2,561,703.57	2,561,761.90	\$58.33
Total Central Corridor District Cash North Yellowstone District	2,573,411.63	2,569,539.89	-\$3,871.74
	24 002 40	94.009.40	00.00
Cash in checking	-31,083.10	<b>-31,083</b> .10	\$0.00
Bond Trust Fund (Wells Fargo) Revenue Allocation Trust Fund (Wells)	0.67	0.67	\$0.00
Total Yellowstone District Cash	895,916.08	895,938.41	\$22.33
Central Corridor District Debt Service	864,833.65	864,855.98	\$22.33
North Yellowstone District Debt Service	650,000.00	650,015,09	\$15.09
East Center District	681,905.79 162,799.76	681,922.80	\$17.01
North Portneuf	•	162,799.76	\$0.00
Naval Ordinance Plant - Cash in checking	41,127.85 5,898.54	41,127.85	\$0.00
Total cash	\$5,182,612.01	5,898.54 \$5,105,270.67	0.00 -\$77,341.34
Cash Restricted By Bond Covenants:	Ψ0, 102,0 (2.01	φυ, 100,270,07	<u>-φ11,341.34</u>
Central Corridor Cash	•		
Next bond payment	950,725.00	<b>950,725.00</b>	0.00
North Yellowstone Cash	000,120.00	300,720.00	. 0,00
Next bond payment	681,450.00	681,450.00	0.00
Central Corridor District Debt Service	650,000.00	650,000.00	0.00
North Yellowstone District Debt Service	681,900.00	681,900.00	0.00
Total restricted cash	2,964,075.00	2,964,075.00	0.00
Total unrestricted cash	2,218,537.01	2,141,195.67	-77,341.34
Cash Available	2,212,22127		
General Fund	202,634.79	129,110.76	-\$73,524.03
Central Corridor District	1,622,686.63	1,618,814.89	-3,871.74
North Yellowstone District	183,383.65	183,405.98	22.33
Central Corridor District Debt Service	0.00	15.09	15.09
North Yellowstone District Debt Service	5.79	22.80	17.01
East Center District	162,799.76	162,799.76	325,599.52
North Portneuf District	41,127.85	41,127.85	0.00
Naval Ordinance Plant District	5,898.54	5,898.54	0.00
Total available cash	2,218,537.01	2,141,195.67	248,258.18
1 Office and and and the state of the state		-[11,1][00]01	= 10,200.10

## Cash movement analysis:

For the first quarter of the 2010 fiscal year, ending December 31, 2009, Pocatello Development Authority received cash of \$62,712.10 and paid out cash payments of \$130,053.44 so that there was a decrease in cash of \$77,341.34.

General Fund revenue received \$3.31 in interest earnings on the Money Market Account, 53.10 in bank service charge refunds and repayment of the Shaver loan for 26,719.00 for total receipts of \$26,775.41. Expenses were Bank service charges of \$29.78, luncheon costs of \$269.66 and a grant to Varsity Square LLC of \$100,000.00 for total expenses of \$100,299.44 The General Fund cash decreased \$73,524.03 in the first quarter.

The Central Corridor District received interest revenues on trust funds of \$73.42 and property taxes of \$25,823.93. The Corridor District paid \$29,754.00 to the Mickelson Construction for the Farmers Project. The Corridor's cash decreased by \$3,856.65.

The North Yellowstone District received interest revenues on trust funds of \$39.34 lt had no expenses during the month. The district's cash increased by \$39.34.

The Naval Ordinance Plant Distict had no activity.

The North Portneuf District had no activity.

The East Center District had no activity.

## Internal Debt:

The North Yellowstone District has borrowed \$615,514.38 from the General Fund in order to pay its commitments with the Rail Crossing. In March \$298,828,31 and in August 31,171.69 was paid back leaving a balance of \$285,514.38.

### External Debt:

Consolidated Corridor District: Two payments left 2010 and 2011. Principal due \$1,770,000.00, interest due \$92,227.50, for a total of \$1,862,227.50.

North Yellowstone Distrist: Final payment due 2027. Principal due \$7,630,000.00, interest due \$5,284,875.00, for a total of \$12,914,875.00.

## POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT: AUTHORITY GENERAL FUND CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS 2010 THROUGH 2015

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	YTD 2010 As of Oct	Remaining 2010	Budget 2011	Budget 2012	Budget 2013	Budget 2014
Previous Year Balance: iNCOME:	\$202,634.79	\$202,634.79	\$129,110.76	\$512,294.17	\$486,439.17	\$1,313,954.30	\$613,614.33
Central Corridor Admin fee					853,370,13		
North Yellowstone Loan (finished 2010)	265,514,38		285,514.38		933/2107.13		
Tetrklyπ Loan (finished 2010)	50,000,00		50,000.00				75 500 00
Shaver loan	•	25,719.00	-26,719,00				75,000.00
Refunds and reimbursements		53.10	-53.10				
Interest income	800.00	3.31	796.69	800.00	. 800.00	1,315.03	614.69
Total Projected Income:	336,314.38	26,775,41	309,538,97	800,00	854,170,13	1,315.03	75,614.69
EXPENSE: RDA Ican payoff Naval Ordinance District						675,000,0D	
Varsity Square LLC Grant		100,000.00					
Management sataries	16,625.0D		16,625,00	16,625,00	16,625.00	16,625.00	16,625.00
Luncheon costs	1,320.00	269,66	1,050.34	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00
Office expenses	200.00		200.00	200.00	200.00	200.00	200,00
Legal advertising	50,00		50,00	50.00	50,06	50,60	50.00
Banking and Professional services	8,480.00	29.78	8,430.22	8,460.00	8,460,00	8,460,00	8,460.00
Total Projected Expense:	26,655.00	100,299,44	26,355.56	26,655.00	26,655.00	701,655.00	26,655.00
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$512,294.17 \$705,943.52 \$679,288.52	\$1 <b>2</b> 9,110.76	\$412,294.17	\$486,439.17	\$1,313,954.30	\$613,614.33	\$662,574.02

# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY CENTRAL CORRIDOR CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS 2010 THROUGH 2012\*\*

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	Actual 2010	Remaining 2010	Budget 2011	Budget 2012
Previous Year Balance*:	\$3,223,411.63	\$3,223,411.63	\$3,249,308.98	\$1,210,228,26	-\$63,524,60
INCOME:					
Projected Estimated Tax Revenues. The	1,500,000.00	25,823.93	1,474,176.07	1,500,000.00	
Taxes Received from Previous Years & yearly interest."		1	0.00		
South Cliffs Repayment 12	196,867.85	1	196,857,85		
AMI Repayment (Building Owners);12			00.0		1,200,000.00
Positron Repayment 12 (400,000,00)			00,0		
Interest earning on trust accounts	9,000.00	73.42	8,926.58	9,000.00	9,000.00
Total Projected Income:	1,705,867.85	25,897.35	1,679,970.50	1,509,000.00	1,209,000.00
EXPENSE:		ł			
Current Year Debt Service:	928,795.00		928,795.00	933,432,50	
Cheyenne Crossing: Committed \$3 million total 18 & 18	2,117,700.22	i	2,117,700,22	1,347,130,36	
Whitman/Yellowstone Hotel:Committed \$613,000 to org 958 500			0,00		
Clark Street Overpass: Committed \$258,880,93		ľ			258,800.93
Admin Transfer to Unrestricted Account <sup>11</sup>			0.00		853,370.13
General Fund - loan for Triangle development					
City of Pocatello, triangle land riembursement					206,000.00
Arbitrage		i	0.00	.:	
Trustee fees	2,250,00		2,250.00	2,250.00	
Unapproved Projects					
Farmer's Project#1 org. 500,000.00	200,000.00	29,754.00	170,246.00		
Unnamed Project #3 (\$500,000,00 new Jan 2009):19	500,000,00		500,000.00	500,000.00	
Total Projected Expense:	3,748,745 <u>.22</u>	0.00	3,718,991,22	2,762,812 <i>.8</i> 5	1,318,171.06
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$1,180,534.26	\$3,249,308.98	\$1,210,288.26	-\$63,524.60	-\$172,695.66
Debt service restriction (reserve \$650,000.00)		\$650,003.68	\$850,083.68	•	0.00
Current debt payment allocation fund reserve		\$950,725.00	\$950,725.00		
Available cash		<b>\$1,648,5</b> 80.30	-\$390,440.42	-\$63,524.60	<b>-\$172,695.66</b>

<sup>\*\*</sup> TIF District stated to close in 2012

### POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY NORTH YELLOWSTONE FUND CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS 2010 THROUGH 2023

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	YTD 2010 As of October	Remaining 2010	Budget 2011	Budget 2012	Budget 2013	Budget 2014 - 2028	
Previous Year Ealance; INCOME:	\$1,546,739.44	\$1,546,739,44	\$1,546,778,78	<b>\$1,567,171</b> <i>A</i> 6	\$1,880,896.86	\$2,193,101.26	\$2,504,603.66	
Property taxes (org \$761,120,03)	1,114,527,36		0,00	1,114,527,36	1,114,527,36	1,114,527,36	40747.040.40	
Interest on trust accounts	144.00	39.34	104.66	5,000,00	5,000,00	5,000.00	16,717,910.40 300,000,00	
Loan from General Fund							,	
Total Projected Income:	1,114,671.36	39.34	104.66	1,119,527.36	1,119,527,36	1,119,527,36	17,017,910,40	
EXPENSE:								
Current Year Debt Service:	681,450.00		681,450.00	678,525,00	680.050.00	680,750,00	46.454.466.64	
Rail Crossing	·		0.00	0.04.000	00.00,000	900,750,00	10,194,100,00	
Peg Development Land Acquisition			0.00					
Legal Advertising			0.00					
Arbitrage fees	5,260.00	İ		5,260,00	5,260,00	5,260,00	78,900.00	
Trustee fees			00,0	•	_,	4,00,00	24,300.00	330,000,00
Loan payment to PDA General Fund (orig 100,000)	285,514,38		285,514.38					285,514.38
Costco employment payment (\$854,105.00) 1	122,014.96		0.00	122,014,96	122.014.95	122,014.96	366,044,88	732,089.76
Total Projected Expense:	1,094,239,34	0.00	966,964,38	805,799.96	807,924,95	808,024,96	10,639,044.88	h rindratures in
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$1,567,171.46	\$1,546,778.78	\$579,919.06	33,880,898,66	\$2,193,101.26	\$2,504,603,66	\$8,883,469.18	
Bond reserve trust fund		681,900,00	681,900.00	681,900.00	681,900,00	681,900,00	0.00	
Current debt payment allocation fund reserve	_	681,450,00	582,545,00	676,600.00	677,440.00	677,440,00	0,00	
Amount available		163,428,78	-684,525,94	522,398.85	833,761.26	1,145,263,66	8,883,459,18	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Note: \$691,458.36 in bonded debt reserves are included in cash balance

<sup>1 =</sup> Per letter from David Messner, Costco Agent, the \$1 mil employment grant was reduced by a construction advance to PEG development of \$145,895,00, Dated 12/31/2007

# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY NORTH PORTNEUF DISTRICT CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS - Tentative 2010 THROUGH 2028

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	YTD 2010 As of October	Remaining 2010	Budget 2011	Budget 2012	Budget 2013	Budget 2014 - 2028
Previous Year Balance: INCOME:	\$41,127.85	\$41,127.85	\$41,127.85	\$799.87	\$789.87	5799.87	\$0.00
Property taxes Interest on trust accounts Loan from General Fund	400,00		400,00 0.00	1,492,442.00	2,895,337.00	2,808,447.00	39,342,425.00
Total Projected Income:	400,00	0.00	0.00 400.00	1,492,442.00	2,895,337.00	2,808,447.00	39,342,425.00
EXPENSE:							
Debt service			0.00	1,492,442.00	2,895,337.00	2,808,447.00	2,724,223.00
Hoku:			0.00				
Infrastructure reimbursement			0.00				15,000,000,00
Employment reimbursement Other payments:			0.00				9,269,326.00
City infrastructure			0.00				2,000,000.00
PDA admin			0.00				741,546.00
Taxing Entity operational costs			0.00			•	8,527,779.00
Total Projected Expense:	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,492,442.00	2,895,337.00	2,808,447.00	38,262,874,00
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$41,527.85	\$41,127.85	\$41,527.85	\$799.87	\$799.67	\$799.87	\$1,079,551.00

Assessed valuation 2009 \$6,639,105.00
Base valuation \$3,458,800.00
Increase 2009 \$3,180,305.00

# POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY NAVAL ORDINANCE PLANT FUND CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS 2010 THROUGH 2028

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	YTD 2010 As of October	Remaining 2010	Budget 2011	Budget 2012	Budget 2013	Budget 2014 - 2028
Previous Year Balance: INCOME:	\$5,898.54	\$5,898.54	\$5,898.54	\$6,535.78	\$7,173.02	\$7,800.26	\$8,427.50
Property taxes Interest on trust accounts	75,637.24		75,637.24 0.00	75,637.24	<b>75,</b> 627. <b>2</b> 4	75,627.24	1,058,781.36
Loan from General Fund	Pro Arra a		0.00			675,000.00	
Total Projected Income:	75,637.24	0.00	75,637,24	75,637.24	75,627.24	750,627.24	1,058,781.36
EXPENSE:							
Log Homes (\$225,000.) Owner Contract: (RDA)	75,000.00		75,000.00 0.00	75,000.00	75,000.00	750 000 00	
Repay loan to General Fund		i	0.00			750,000.00	750,000,00
			0.00				. 00,000.00
	_		0,00				
Total Projected Expense:	7 <b>5</b> ,000. <b>00</b>	0.00	0.00 75,000,00	75,000.00	75,000.00	750,000.00	750,000.00
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$6,535.78	\$5,898.54	\$6,535,78	\$7,173,02	\$7,800.26	\$8,427.50	\$317,208.86

Assessed valuation 2009 \$6,639,105.00

8ase valuation \$3,458,800.00

Increase 2009 \$3,180,305.00

## POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY EAST CENTER CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS 2010

YEARS ENDED	Budget 2010	Actual 2010	Remaining 2010	
Previous Year Balance:	<b>\$162,799</b> .76	\$162,799,76	<b>\$162,7</b> 99.76	
INCOME:				
Property taxes	00.0	1	0.00	
Interest on trust accounts		Ì	0.00	
Loan from General Fund		Ī	0.00	
Total Projected Income:	0.00	0.00	0.00	
EXPENSE:				
Admin fee to General Fund	16,318,12		16,318.12	146,481,64
Refund to:			2010.12	2407101104
City of Pocatello	71,366.83		71,366,83	
School District 25	31,079,92		31,079,92	
Bannock County	37,511,50		37,511,50	
County Road	4,658.91		4,658.91	
County Ambulance	1,864.48		1,864,48	
		j	0.00	
Total Projected Expense:	162,799,76	0.00	162,799.76	
CALCULATED ANNUAL BALANCE	\$0.00	\$162,799.76	\$0.00	

Assessed valuation 2009 \$6,639,105.00 Base valuation \$3,458,800.00 MEMORANDUM FOR: Pocatello Development Authority

FROM: David Swindell, Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: East Center Closure Refund

DATE: January 13, 2010

## 1. FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION.

2. **Purpose**. To notify the PDA board that we have received tax dollars in the now-closed East Center Urban Renewal Area (URA) and to obtain board approval to allocate those funds in accordance with the East Center plan and Idaho Code 50-2909.

## 3. Discussion.

- a. Situation. The Pocatello Development Authority (PDA) and the City of Pocatello created the East Center tax-increment financing district vicinity Portneuf Medical Center, East Campus. Subsequently, the projects envisioned did not materialize. With voter approval, Bannock County entered into a public-private partnership with Legacy Hospital Partners and in so doing secured financing that developed the area without the need for PDA programs. The PDA and the city terminated this district last year.
- b. But we did get some money. As FY2009 closed, PDA did receive money in the East Center District. It resulted from the taxable value of the increment of this district as it existed on December 31, 2008. Although the thinking was that there was no increment (any construction here was from the tax-exempt then county-owned hospital), as it turns out that wasn't quite correct. The hospital contracted with a private company (DASCO) to construct and lease a large medical office building. This building is privately owned and hence was on the tax roles. Constructed in 2008, the resulting tax value was an increment to the district. PDA received \$162,757.38 for East Center (that was previously erroneously attributed to Central Corridor District). So the total dollars the PDA has remains the same, but we have \$162,757.38 less in Central Corridor and now have \$162,757.38 in the now closed East Center district fund.
- c. What to do with the money. State law is clear. A refund is due to the various taxing districts after all other obligations of the district are satisfied:

Idaho Code 50-2909 4) When the revenue allocation area plan budget described in section 50-2903(5), Idaho Code, estimates that all financial obligations have been provided for, the principal of and interest on such moneys, indebtedness and bonds have been paid in full, or when deposits in the special fund or funds created under this chapter are sufficient to pay such principal and interest as they come due, and to fund reserves, if any, or any other obligations of the agency funded through revenue allocation proceeds shall be satisfied and the agency has determined no additional project costs need be funded through revenue allocation financing, the allocation of revenues under section 50-2908, Idaho Code, shall thereupon cease; any moneys in such fund or funds in excess of the amount necessary to pay such principal and interest shall be distributed to the affected taxing districts in which the revenue allocation area is located in the same manner and proportion as the most recent distribution to the affected taxing

districts of the taxes on the taxable property located within the revenue allocation area;

- d. Remaining obligation for Administration. According to the East Center plan, 10% of the revenue is allocated to the PDA general fund for administration. The PDA did absorb administrative expenses and in our judgment the 10% constitutes a remaining obligation of the district. There being no other projects nor debt, it is the only remaining obligation.
  - e. Recommended Allocation. Staff recommends the following:

	fee and professional s		
retained by PDA for PDA Balance to be refunded	THE POST OF THE PO		\$16,275,74
Dalance ly be refulled	rtu taxing districts pe	1.6. 50-2909	\$146,481.64
Levy Rate Apportionm			
	Tax Year 2008		\$ amount to be
Taxing District	(FY09) Levy Rate	% of total	refunded
City of Pocatello	0.009757247	48.72%	\$71,366.8
School District #25	0.004249235	21.22%	\$31.079.92
Bannock County	0.005128558	25.61%	\$37,511.50
County Road	0.000636964	3.18%	\$4,658.9
County Ambulance	0.000254911	1.27%	\$1,864.4
Tota	0.020026915	100.0%	\$146,481.64

- f. Letters and Press Release. This action will be "good news" to the various taxing districts and offers an opportunity for the Board to demonstrate fidelity with the letter and spirit of urban renewal law. A suggested letter to accompany the checks to taxing districts is at <u>TAB A</u> and a suggested press release is at <u>TAB B</u>.
- 4. Conclusion. The recommended allocation is appropriate and in accordance with state law.
- 5. **Recommendation.** That the Board approve the distribution of funds per paragraph 3 e, send letters accompanying the checks per <u>TAB A</u> and issue a press release, per <u>TAB B</u>.

APPROVED	SEE ME	OTHER	

# Pocatello Development Authority

ISU Research and Business Park 1651 Alvin Ricken Drive Pocatello, Idaho 83201

An urban renewal agency for the City of Pocalello, Idaho

December 15, 2009

To:

Dwight Babcock, CEO

IsoRay Medical

350 Hills Street, Suite 106

Richland, Washington 99354-5411

Re:

Economic Development Agreement; Pocatello Development Authority and IsoRay Medical

Dear Mr. Babcock:

This letter is in response to your letter dated June 26, 2009. I will rely upon the attached correspondence to provide the background information leading to this letter. I have taken time in response to thoughtfully consider the information presented in your letter, to meet with representatives of the Idaho National Laboratory (INL), and to consult with our Legal counsel on an appropriate course forward. Accordingly, I offer the following in response.

The terms of the Agreement (attached), are clear as to the repayment of funds. Paragraph 3.1 of the Agreement reads; "Developer (IsoRay) further agrees to repay, with 5% interest from the date of dispersement, the \$200,000.00 amount should Developer fail to build and operate such a facility (manufacturing facility), except under the conditions set out in paragraph 3.3 below."

Paragraph 3.3 goes on to read; "PDA agrees that no such repayment will be required if approval for the use of the reactor facility specified above is withdrawn by said facility and proof of denial of use is provided to the PDA." To date, the PDA has received no evidence that use of the reactor facility has been withdrawn. In fact, and to the contrary, we found in our meeting with INL representatives that they are very willing to continue to make available use of the reactor facility for the business of IsoRay Medical.

If it is the current intention of IsoRay Medical not to construct a manufacturing facility in Pocatello, Idaho as per the Agreement then this letter respectfully requests repayment of funds according to the terms of paragraph 3.1. If it is IsoRay Medical's intention to go forward in the construction of a manufacturing facility we would invite contact so that we may consider modifying the terms of the original Agreement and thereby find a path forward. We will await your reply.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Chambers, Executive Director Pocatello Development Authority

P.O. Box 4169

Pocatello, Idaho 83205-4169

(208) 234-6184

Cc:

Mr. Dean Tranmer, PDA Attorney Mr. Steve Brown, PDA Chair

# Pocatello Development Authority

ISU Research and Business Park 1651 Alvin Ricken Drive Pocatello, Idaho 83201

Anutban renewal agency for the City of Pocatello, Idaho

# 2010 POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY CALENDAR

January 20, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
February 17, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
March 17, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
April 21, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
May 19, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
June 16, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
July 21, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
August 18, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
September 15, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
October 20, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
November 17, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers
December 15, 2010	11:00 a.m.	Council Chambers

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY BULLET POINT

- First comprehensive statutory overhaul of chapter 20, title 50, Idaho Code, the Urban Renewal Law (the "Law") since the Law's enactment in 1965, and of chapter 29, title 50, Idaho Code, the Local Economic Development Act (the "Act") since the Act's enactment in 1988.
- The purpose of this legislation is to reform and modernize the Law and the Act to improve these
  important economic tools which allow the development of local/regional economies as well as the
  economy of the state as a whole.
- In addition to the purposes of reform and modernization, the legislation also directly responds to
  the criticisms of the Law and the Act made over the past few legislative sessions and imposes a
  number of limitations.
- The proposed legislation is a result of a full review of urban renewal and tax increment (revenue allocation) statutes from other jurisdictions, journals, articles, best practices and other documents for purposes of identifying emerging and modern trends.
- The legislation combines chapters 20 and 29, title 50, Idaho Code, into one new general overview chapter titled the Idaho Urban Reinvestment Act.
- The legislation creates two new chapters establishing a classification system which separate
  deteriorating area projects from those projects promoting economic, industrial, residential and/or
  mixed-use development and provides guidance as to what constitutes an eligible project under
  each classification. These chapters are titled "Deteriorating Area" and "Economic Development."
- The legislation modernizes the definitions section to add new definitions related to economic development, and to further reflect the two classifications: deteriorating area projects and economic development projects. More specifically:
  - The legislation revises the deteriorating area definition to require certain specific findings.
  - O The legislation modernizes the definition of urban renewal project to reflect that undertakings and activities of an urban renewal plan may include façade improvements, improvements related to transit systems, construction of affordable housing and workforce housing, historic preservation, acquisition of transit vehicles, construction of transit and energy facilities, construction of sustainable infrastructure projects, compliance with the ADA, acquisition of art to be displayed in public areas and construction of telecommunications infrastructure.
  - O The legislation also modernizes the definition of project costs to include organizational costs, construction of wastewater treatment plants, water treatment plants, sewer lines and/or water lines related to the urban renewal project, costs related to the construction of general public purpose government buildings that predominately serve the urban renewal area, costs related to economic and environmental improvements and transit costs.
  - O The revised definition severely limits prohibits the use of revenue allocation funds to construct general purpose government buildings depending on the classification of the project area (not allowed in economic development projects).

- The termination dates for use of revenue allocation depends on a plan's classification: twenty-four
  years for a deteriorating area and twenty years for an economic development area.
- The legislation limits the allocation of revenue allocation funds when the total base assessment role of all urban renewal plans of the municipality exceeds 10 percent of the total assessed value of the municipality, or when the total urban renewal land area of all urban renewal plans of the municipality exceeds 15 percent of the total land area of that municipality.
- The legislation seeks to modernize the revenue stream available to an urban renewal agency and
  includes a new section which allows an urban renewal agency to elect to receive and use sales tax
  increment (similar to the recently enacted STARS legislation).
- The legislation prohibits shoestring annexations of property for the purpose of amending an urban renewal plan.
- The criteria concerning economic development projects and deteriorating area projects will be effective for any urban renewal plan adopted after July 1, 2010, or upon any amendment to an existing urban renewal plan which includes any addition of property adopted after July 1, 2010.
- This bill provides for significant amendments to existing chapters 20 and 29 (these provisions also are included in proposed new chapter 32) effective July 1, 2010, for those plans which are grandfathered.
- The legislation prohibits shoestring annexations of property for the purpose of amending an urban renewal plan.
- To increase the transparency and accountability of the urban renewal agency and its commissioners by:
  - Addressing the composition of the board of commissioners and mandating some of the
    commissioners be residents and electors of the municipality with the remainder as
    determined by the sponsoring city or county (the local governing body may not appoint
    itself as the board of commissioners of the urban renewal agency);
  - o Limiting the number of City Council members and/or Mayor on the board;
  - o Allowing board members to be removed for cause by vote of the council/mayor;
  - o Requiring each commissioner file an annual disclosure statement; and
  - Requiring a <u>roll call vote</u> for purposes of passage of every resolution to: enter into a
    contract or agreement, approve and adopt an annual budget, approve and adopt
    appropriations and approve liabilities and obligations of the urban renewal agency.
- Requiring the filing of an annual report with the state tax commission concerning the value of the
  base assessment roll, the increment value, the current total assessed valuation of all taxable
  property within the revenue allocation area and the total assessed valuation of all taxable property
  within the municipality using the most current available values.

# Fee Invoice

## **Corporate Trust Services**

Invoice Number 625575

Due Date Billing Date 1/20/2010 12/21/2009

Pocatello Development Authority Attn: Mr. Jerry Higgins Pocatello City Hall

Pocatello, ID 83205

PO Box 4169

Amount Due \_\_\_\_\_ 82,500.00 Please mail or wire payment to:

Mailing Address: Wells Fargo Bank

WF 8113 P.O. Box 1450

Minneapolis, MN 55485-8113

Wire Instructions: ABA #: 121000248 DDA#: 1000031565

Reference: Invoice #, Acent Name, Attn Name

ACH Instructions: ABA #: 091000019 DDA#: 1000031565

Memo: Invoice #, Account Name, Attn Name

Please return this portion of the statement with your payment in the envelope provided:

Please retain this portion for your records

Account Number: 16839700

Pocatello Development Authority Revenue Allocation (Tax Increment) Bonds 2004 Series A

Administration Charges

For the Period 12/23/2009 thru 12/22/2010

Trustee Fee

Disclosure/Dissemination Fee

\$2,250.00

\$250.00

Total Amount Due:

\$2,500.00

## INVOICE

CITY OF POCATELLO
911 NORTH 7TH AVENUE
PO BOX 4169
POCATELLO, ID 832054169

(208) 234-6214

TO: POCATELLO DEV AUTHORITY

CITY TREASURER

POCATELLO, ID 83201

INVOICE NO: 21969

DATE: 1/08/10

CUSTOMER\_NO: 495/2036

1.00

TYPE: EG ENG/CHEYENNE CORRIDOR

QUANTITY DESCRIPTION

MIT BRICE EXTENDED BRICE

ENG/CHEYENNE CORRIDOR
20% PERLIM ENG TO 10/24

4,954.66

4,954.66

\*\*\* THE CITY OF POCATELLO THANKS YOU FOR YOUR \*\*\*

\*\* PROMPT PAYMENTS !!! \*\*

TOTAL DUE:

\$4,954.66

## PLEASE DETACH AND SEND THIS COPY WITH REMITTANCE

DATE: 1/08/10 DUE DATE: 1/08/10

CUSTOMER NO: 495/2036

NAME: POCATELLO DEV AUTHORITY

TYPE: EG - ENG/CHEYENNE CORRIDOR

REMIT AND MAKE CHECK PAYABLE TO: CITY OF POCATELLO

911 NORTH 7TH AVENUE

1 D BOX 4169

OCATELLO

ID 83205-4169

INVOICE NO: 21969 TERMS: NET 0 DAYS

AMOUNT:

\$4,954.66

# CITY OF POCATELLO

Invoice No. 0601-11

P O BOX 4169 POCATELLO, ID 83205 (208)234-6225 fax (208)234-6151

Customer

**INVOICE** 

Name Address City Phone	POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  1651 ALVIN RICKEN DR  POCATELLO State ID ZIP 83201	_ _ _ )	Date Order No. Rep FOB	01/06/2010
Qty	Description		Unit Price	TOTAL
1	PRELIMINARY DESIGN CHEYENNE CORRIDOR STUD 20% OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ENGINEERING SERVICES FROM HDR INC	ΟY		
1	Period Ending 09/26/09		\$2,202.77	\$2,202.77
1	Period Ending 10/24/09		\$2,751.89	\$2,751.89
			SubTotal	\$4,954.66
P:	ayment Details S	Shippi	ng & Handling	\$0.00
	Cash Tax	xes		
•	Check Credit Card		TOTAL	\$4,954.66
Name CC#		Offi	ce Use Onl <b>y</b>	

# PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING CHEYENNE CORRIDOR STUDY

# COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ENGINEERING SERVICES FROM HDR INC

PERIOD ENDING	INVOICE AMOUNT (PAID BY CUTY)	EFD: AMOUNT DUE (80%)	PDA AMOUNT DUE (20%)
09/26/2009	\$11,013.85	\$8,811.08	\$2,202.77
10/24/2009	13,759.44	\$11,007.55	\$2,751.89
TOTALDUE	\$24,773.29	\$19,818.63	\$4,934.66



# **Invoice**

Please send remittance with copy of invoice to

P.O. Box 3480

Omaha, NE 68103-0480

City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83205-4169

Attn: Cac Turner

Total Due This Invoice

Invoice Date:

Invoice No.:

146670-H 9/29/2009

Period Ending:

9/26/2009

HDR Project No.:

•

Amount Due:

\$ 11,013.85

11,013.85

09400-001-143

-

Agreement Administrator

Professional services for period ending 9/26/09 for Cheyenne Overpass Pocatello. Project No. DHP-1564(001), Key No. 7508, Agreement No. 4014.

LABOR	Hours	Rate	Cost		_	
Mike Funk	1.50	29.1	14	43.71		
John Buttenob	- -	60.0	00	-		
Aaron Rasmussen	-	36.0	00	•		
Jeff Simmons	<b>-</b> .	60.0	00	-		
Carrie Ulrich	<del>-</del>	28.1	18	-		
Kathy Jenkins	-	21.9	94	-		**
Shannon McNamara	18.00	39.5	50	711.00		
Heather Warren	1.00	18.4	10	18.40		
Michael Johnson	31.00	48.2	25	1,495.75		
Scott Wood	15.00	39.0	10	585.00		
Nicholas McDowell	29.50	31.2	:5	921.88		
Megan McKenna	3.00	25.1	0	75.30		
David Irish	4.00	53.0	0	212.00		
TOTAL DIRECT LABOR	R 103.00		\$	4,063.04	_	
<b>OVERHEAD @ 1.5476</b>			-	6,287.95		
Subtotal labor			\$	10,350.99	•	
FEE per Contract Supple	ement #2	10/00/00	\$	53,423.00		
LESS fee previously bille	ed (Thru Mar 2006)	JAIZ 10/27/09	. \$	53,141.24		
Net fee this invoice		070-6001-42 ACCT. # 40-02	\$	281.76	\$	281.76
		ACCT. #	-			
EXPENSES		PROJ. # FAG-050			•	
Postage/Shipping		PROJ. # FAG-050	-	-		
Travel	·	TLIN. 11 013.89	5	-		
Printing		Bloc 31VV	•	-		
Technology Charge	\$ 3.70 /hr	APER : De	_	381.10		
Subtotal expenses			\$	381.10		
Subtotal HDR		SEQ.(%)			\$	10,732.09
		:				
SUBCONTRACTORS						
Harper-Leavitt			_	-		
Kleinfelder				<del>-</del>	•	
HDR - Boise				<u> </u>	-	,
Subtotal subcontractor	<u> </u>				\$	-



# Invoice

Please send remittance with copy of invoice to

P.O. Box 3480

Omaha, NE 68103/

INVOICE NO.	146670-Н
-	

INVOICE DATE

9/29/2009

PERIOD ENDING

9/26/2009

Attn: Cac Turner

Pocatello, ID 83205-4169

City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169

**Agreement Administrator** 

PROJECT NO. DHP-1564(001)

**Re: CHEYENNE OVERPASS** 

HDR

09400-001-143

11,013.85

Harper-Leavitt

09400-003-143

11,015.65

Kleinfelder

09400-004-143

**HDR** Boise

09400-009-143

CURRENT CONTRACT: HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	_	ONTRACT AMOUNT	TOTAL EARNINGS	PREVIOUSLY BILLED		CURRENT INVOICE
Labor	\$	522,064.00	454,637.03	444,286.04		10,350.99
Fee			53,423.00	53,141.24		281.76
Expenses		42,720.00	41,739.67	41,358.57		381.10
Total HDR	\$	564,784.00	549,799.70	538,785.85		11,013.85
SUBCONTRACTORS		•	·	•		
Shapiro & Associates	\$	194,637.45	194,637.45	194,637.45		
Harper-Leavitt Engineering		46,116.55	29,590.99	29,590.99		_
Kleinfelder		66,314.91	37,112.51	37,112.51		-
Intermountain Demographics		2,229.00	2,229.00	2,229.00		_
HDR Boise		55,809.23	70,918.63	70,918.63		_
Intermountain Aerial		34,164.00	34,164.00	34,164.00		-
Total Subs	-\$	399,271.14	368,652.58	368,652.58	,	
Total Contract	\$	964,055.14	918,452.28	907,438.43	\$	11,013.85
<b>Total Due This Invoice</b>				•	\$	11,013.85

## IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT Payment Request for Consultant Services

Payment Requ	uest No.	70	_ Month End_	9/26/2009		-	Progress	x	Final	<u> </u>
Contract No.	DHP-1564(001)	Contract	Modifications_				FA		Non	FAX
Work Task Order No. N/A CID_										70004
Project CHEYENNE OVERPASS, POCATELLO										
Name of Cons	sultant		<del></del>	PROJECT SUMMARY	(HD)	R AND SUBCO	NTRACT	ORS)		
% Work	95.0%		% Time_	97.3%			% Billed	l		89.7%
Date Work Sta	arted	3/2	20/2000	Contract Completion Date		12/30/2009	NTP Dat	te:		3/20/2000
		su	MMARY OF V	WORK FOR WHICH PAY	ME	NT IS REQUEST	TED			
Task			Task Descriptio			Estimated	Accumu			Current
or Item						Cost		mount	<u> </u>	Month
1	ADMINISTRAT	ION			\$	147,457.41	\$	116,805.55	\$	111.36
2	ALTERNATIVE	DEVELO	PMENT			106,227.00		97,775.70		-
3	CONCEPT DRA	INAGE				8,085.00		8,991.09		<del>-</del>
4	ROADWAY DE	SIGN				10,366.00	1	5,334.46		1,811.34
6	TRAFFIC DATA	& MOD	ELING		İ	22,156.00	ŀ	20,205.97		-
7	LOCATION HEA	ARING &	STUDY REPO	RT		14,303.00		9,861.93		-
8	CONCEPT DESI	IGN REPO	ORT		İ	14,355.00		12,644.22		-
9	UTILITY PLANS	S				-		•	i	- ]
10	PRELIMINARY	DRAINA	.GE					-		-
11	PERMITS, APPI	ROVALS	& MITIGATIO	1		4,874.00		51.30		
12	PRELIMINARY	PLANS				22,555.00		3,135.64		519.46
13	PRELIMINARY	DESIGN	REVIEW			-		-		-
15	DESIGN STUDY	REPOR'	Γ			6,388.00		968.17	Ì	-
17	OUT OF SCOPE	SERVIC	ES			77,752.00		98,167.51		-
18	TEMPORARY P	RELIMIN	IARY DESIGN			-		71,749.43		~
19	Metric to English	Conversi	ion			19,745.00		-	ł	-
20	Environmental D	ocument				66,202.59		-	ļ	
21	AMI Culvert TS&	ζL				•		8,220.79		7,649.49
22	Design Study Re	port				-		270.05		67.51
23	Drainage Report					•		394.37		191.83
16	DIRECT EXPEN	ISES				44,318.00		41,800.54		381.10
	SUBCONTRACT	FORS DIE	ECT EXPENS	ES		31,342.44		9,660.10		-
	SUBCONTRAC.	TOR LAB	OR			367,928.70		358,992.48		-
										10.500.00
				Totals	\$	964,055.14	\$	,	\$	10,732.09
			1	A O Fee	⋘		φ	53,423.00	<u> </u>	281.76
			$R_{\rm L}$	Total	<b>***</b>	***************************************	\$	918,452.28	\$	11,013.85
Approved by F	Project Manager:		/ K	My Sthruss)			]	Date:	_[c	120/09
Approved by A	Agreement Admin	istrator:		Smilell W.	No	un	. 1	Date:	16	126/09

ITD-2761 05/97

# PROPESSIONAL SERVICES AUTHORIZATION and

# INVOICE SUMMARY



-Agreement Amounts

Suppl Agreement:

\$109,375.00

Additional Services:

\$0.00

TOTAL:

\$109,375.00

Agreement No:

Billing Ref No:

401409

PSA No:

Suppl Agreement No:

Consultant Invoice No:

**PROJECT** 

Key Number

DHP-1564(001)

<u>Route</u> STC-7271 **Location** 

CHEYENNE OVERPASS, POCATELLO

This PSA authorizes SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT SERVICES to be performed as described below:

<u>Number</u>

Description:

<u>Amoun</u>

07508

Services of Supplemental No. 2

\$109,375

Compensation for Services Shall not Exceed:

\$109,375

Which Increases the Total Authorized Amount to:

\$109,375

PSA Issued (Consultant Admin, Unit)

PSA Accepted (Consultant)

Authorized Amount	Previous Invoices	This Invoice	Total to Date	Balance Authorized
\$109,375	52,781.77	11,013.85	63,795.62	45, 579,38
	-	*		

Payment Recommended (Agreement Administrator)

ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

# **Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report**



Idaho Transportation Department

is page must be filled out monthly by the Consultant and forwarded to the Agreement Administrator with the monthly mvoice. If necessary, attach additional sheets for continuation.

Progress Report No.	Project No.	Date
70	DHP-1564(001)	10/20/09
Agreement Administrator	Key No.	Agreement No.
Mr. Cac Turner, P.E.	7508	4014
Consultant	Project Name	
HDR Engineering, Inc.	Cheyenne Overpass	
Report/Billing Period (From and To)	PSA No.	Invoice No.
August 1, 2009 to September 26, 2009	S-1	146670-H
Description of Work Accomplished During the Month		
Work performed during this period included the (1) Prepared HDR monthly progress report a (2) Worked on drainage report.  (3) Worked on AMI culvert TS&L plans.	ne following: nd invoicing for the previous period	<b>I</b> .
Summary of Work Completed to Date (Milestones Comp	eleted and Dates)	
		· .
· ·	•	
Information Required from ITD to Avoid Delays		
None		
List Changes in Scope or Complexity Requiring a Supple	mental Agreement or Time Adjustments	
None		
sultant a Signature	Printed Name and Titl	A
J. W. Hard		
/ NAM I SMICHED	John Buttenob, Pr	oject Manager

ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

# **Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report**

Idaho Transportation Department

	Progress Repo	rt No.	Key No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Agreement No.	
0756	18 76	2		7508	401	4
Report Reviewed By			Review			<i></i>
Line	dell bil har	min		10/26/09		
he Following was Initi	ated	100		10/26/01		<u> </u>
Rivia	w & Approxe	Payment	Par	a col		
	- Julian	7/11/09	11/1/1			
					<del></del>	
				<u> </u>		
tatus Report				•		
•						
completed status	report must accompany rogress to this report are i	all Agreement	invoices	recommended for paym	ent. The reque	sted percen
	•				<del>,</del>	
greement Time	Time Passed	Percent of Agree	1 .	•	Percent of Work (	•
2797		/0	00 9		99	<u> </u>
riginal Agreement mount	Supplemental(s) #/ # / # / 144,680	Current Agreeme Amount 964		Payments (Including this Payment)	Percent of Agreen Dollars Paid	nent
710,000	\$ #2 # 109375	\$ 419	775 JW	\$ 918,452.24		%
110/000	Fixed Fee		——————————————————————————————————————	110/120.21	//	
his Invoice	To Date	Negotiated				
	\$	\$				
	<u>.J ´                                 </u>	<u>'</u>				<del></del>
	Variance Between the Percent	<u>'</u>	ain			
There is a Significant	<u>.J ´                                 </u>	<u>'</u>	ain			
	<u>.J ´                                 </u>	<u>'</u>	ain			
There is a Significant	<u>.J ´                                 </u>	<u>'</u>		ant Amount		
There is a Significant	<u>.J ´                                 </u>	<u>'</u>	This Paymo	ent Amount //, 0/3.85		



# Invoice

Please send remittance with copy of invoice to

P.O. Box 3480

Omaha, NE 68103-0480

City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83205-4169

Attn: Cac Turner

Total Due This Invoice

Agreement Administrator

Invoice No.:

150506-H

Invoice Date:

10/27/2009

Period Ending:

10/24/2009

HDR Project No.: 09400-001-143

**Amount Due:** 

13,759.44

13,759.44

Professional services for period ending 10/24/09 for Cheyenne Overpass Pocatello. Project No. DHP-1564(001), Key No. 7508, Agreement No. 4014.

LABOR	Hours	Rate	Cost		
Mike Funk	2.00	29.14		58.28	
John Buttenob	•	60.00		-	
Aaron Rasmussen	-	36.00		-	
Jeff Simmons	-	60.00		-	
Carrie Ulrich	-	28.18		· •	
Kathy Jenkins	-	21.94		-	
Shannon McNamara	<del>-</del> `	39.50		-	
Heather Warren	1.50	18.40		27.60	
Michael Johnson	-	48.25		-	
Scott Wood	•	DATE 11/23/09 39.00		. <b>-</b>	
Nicholas McDowell	-	070-(001-425 31.25			
Megan McKenna	-	ACCT. # 40-02 25.10	)	-	
Cheryl Murray	28.50	48.25		1,375.13	
Marta Miller	121.00	PROJ. #/AMT. TAG-050 30.50		3,690.50	
David Irish	0.50	12370 1111 53.00		26.50	
TOTAL DIRECT LABOR	153.50	TL INV. AMT. \$ 13.759.44	\$	5,178.01	
OVERHEAD @ 1.5476 Subtotal labor		APPR BY 6M	\$	8,013.48 13,191.49	
EXPENSES Postage/Shipping Travel Printing Technology Charge Subtotal expenses	\$ 3.70	SEQ. NO.		- - - 567.95 567.95	
Subtotal HDR		•	Ψ	20,150	\$ 13,759.44
Subwai FIDA				-	Ψ 13,733.11
SUBCONTRACTORS				•	
Harper-Leavitt				-,	
Kleinfelder					
HDR - Boise					
Subtotal subcontractors			<del></del>	<del></del>	\$ -

ITD-2761 05/97

# PROPESSIONAL SERVICES AUTHORIZATION

## INVOICE SUMMARY



Agreement Amounts

Suppl Agreement: \$109,375.00

Additional Services:

\$0.00

TOTAL:

\$109,375.00

Agreement No:

**Billing Ref No:** 

401409

PSA No:

S - 1

Suppl Agreement No:

Consultant Invoice No:

PROJECT

Key Number

DHP-1564(001)

STC-7271

CHEYENNE OVERPASS, POCATELLO

This PSA authorizes SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT SERVICES to be performed as described below:

Number

**Description:** 

07508

Services of Supplemental No. 2

<u>Amoun</u>

\$109,375

Compensation for Services Shall not Exceed:

\$109,375

Which increases the Total Authorized Amount to:

\$109,375

PSA Issued (Consultant Admin. Unit)

PSA Accepted (Consultant)

Authorized Amount	Previous Invoices	This Invoice	Total to Date	Balance Authorized
\$109,375	63,795,62	13,759.44	77,555,06	31,819,94

Payment Recommended (Agreement Administrator)



# Invoice

Please send remittance with copy of invoice to P.O. Box 3480

Omaha, NE 68103-0480

INVOICE NO.

0

City of Pocatello P.O. Box 4169

Pocatello, ID 83205-4169

INVOICE DATE

10/27/2009

PERIOD ENDING

10/24/2009

Attn: Cac Turner

Agreement Administrator

PROJECT NO.

DHP-1564(001)

**Re: CHEYENNE OVERPASS** 

HDR

09400-001-143

13,759.44

Harper-Leavitt Kleinfelder 09400-003-143 09400-004-143

**HDR** Boise

09400-009-143

CURRENT CONTRACT: HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	_	CONTRACT AMOUNT	TOTAL EARNINGS	PREVIOUSLY BILLED	CURRENT INVOICE
Labor	\$	522,064.00	467,828.52	454,637.03	13,191.49
Fee			53,423.00	53,423.00	-
Expenses		42,720.00	42,307.62	41,739.67	567.95
Total HDR	\$	564,784.00	563,559.13	549,799.70	13,759.44
SUBCONTRACTORS					
Shapiro & Associates	\$	194,637.45	194,637.45	194,637.45	-
Harper-Leavitt Engineering		46,116.55	29,590.99	29,590.99	-
Kleinfelder		66,314.91	37,112.51	37,112.51	-
Intermountain Demographics		2,229.00	2,229.00	2,229.00	-
HDR Boise		55,809.23	70,918.63	70,918.63	-
Intermountain Aerial		34,164.00	34,164.00	34,164.00	-
Total Subs	\$	399,271.14	368,652.58	368,652.58	 <del>-</del>
Total Contract	\$	964,055.14	932,211.71	918,452.28	\$ 13,759.44
<b>Total Due This Invoice</b>					\$ 13,759.44

ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

# **Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report**



Idaho Transportation Department

This page must be filled out monthly by the Consultant and forwarded to the Agreement Administrator with the montinvoice. If necessary, attach additional sheets for continuation.

Progress Report No.	Project No.	Date				
Progress Report No.	·	11/4/09				
<u></u> .	DHP-1564(001)					
Agreement Administrator	Key No.	Agreement No.				
Mr. Cac Turner, P.E.	7508	4014				
Consultant	Project Name					
DR Engineering, Inc. Cheyenne Overpass						
Report/Billing Period (From and To)	PSA No.	Invoice No.				
September 27, 2009 to October 24, 2009	S-1					
Description of Work Accomplished During the Month  Work performed during this period included the (1) Prepared HDR monthly progress report and (2) Worked on drainage report. (3) Worked on Design Study Report.		eriod.				
Summary of Work Completed to Date (Milestones Comple	fed and Dates)					
Information Required from ITD to Avoid Delays						
None						
List Changes in Scope or Complexity Requiring a Supplem	ental Agreement or Time Adjustmen	nts				
None		,				
Consultant's Signature	Printed Name an	d Title				
Man / Solffeut		b, Project Manager				

ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

# Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report Idaho Transportation Department

his page must be f	filled out by the Agreeme	ent Administrator.			
Work Authority No.	Progress Repor	rt No.	Key No.		Agreement No.
0750	8   7	<b>'</b> /	7	508	4014
Report Reviewed By	hll W. Tur	m	Review Date	113/01	,
Rev	view + Approve	Payment	Regn	rest	
	report must accompany ogress to this report are re	<del>-</del>	oices reco	ommended for paym	ent. The requested percentage
Agreement Time	Time Passed				Percent of Work Completed
2797	1	100	•	'	99.5 %
Original Agreement mount	Supplemental(s) #1 144,680	Current Agreement Amount	Paym Paym	nents (Including this nent)	Percent of Agreement Dollars Paid
* 710,000	\$ 02 109,375	\$ 964,05	5 \$	932,211.68	%
	Fixed Fee	1 2.,	_		
This Invoice	To Date	Negotiated			e.
\$	\$	\$			
If There is a Significant v	/ariance Between the Percenta	ges, Please Explain			
Consultant Invoice No.	11/21		Payment Am		
1505	06-H	\$	13,	759.44	
gress is substantiated elated and represent	d, significant material ex the work accomplished.	rpenses have suppor  I hereby approve the	rt docume he progre	entation (receipts), a ess estimate for paym	e invoice amount checked, pro and the costs billed are project nent. factorily completed, any capita
ssets acquired have	<del>-</del>	e received, an affida	lavit of in	ndebtedness received	d, and the project reviewed o
eement Administrator	s Signature	Date		Second (Independent) F	Reviewer's Signature

# IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT Payment Request for Consultant Services

Payment Request No.		71 Month End 10/24/2009				Progress	x	Final		
Contract No.	DHP-1564(001)	Contract	Modifications_				FA		Non FA	x
Work Task O	rder No.	N/A						CID		7000
Project CHEYENNE O					VE	RPASS, POCATI	ELLO			
Name of Cons	sultant			PROJECT SUMMARY	(HD	R AND SUBCO	TRACT	ORS)		
% Work	95.0%		% Time_	98.1%		•	% Billed		91.2%	
Date Work St	arted	3/	20/2000	Contract Completion Date		12/30/2009	NTP Dat	e:		3/20/2006
		SU	MMARY OF V	WORK FOR WHICH PAY	ME	NT IS REQUES	ΓED			
Task or Item			Task Description	n		Estimated Cost	Accumulative Amount		Current Month	
1	ADMINISTRAT	ЮИ			\$	147,457.41		116,954.02	3	148.47
2	ALTERNATIVE		OPMENT			106,227.00		97,775.70		-
3		CONCEPT DRAINAGE				8,085.00		8,991.09	1	-
4	ROADWAY DESIGN					10,366.00		5,334.46		-
6	TRAFFIC DATA & MODELING				22,156.00		20,205.97		-	
7	LOCATION HEARING & STUDY REPORT				14,303.00		9,861.93		-	
8	CONCEPT DESI		ORT			14,355.00		12,644.22		-
9	UTILITY PLANS							-		-
10 11	PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE					4,874.00		51.30		•
	PERMITS, APPROVALS & MITIGATION				22,555.00		3,135.64		_	
13	PRELIMINARY PLANS					22,333.00		2,132.04	1	_
15	PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW					6,388.00		968.17	1	_
17	DESIGN STUDY REPORT OUT OF SCOPE SERVICES					77,752.00	ļ	98,167.51		_
18	TEMPORARY PRELIMINARY DESIGN				77,732.00	ļ	71,749.43		-	
		Metric to English Conversion				19,745.00	1			-
20	Environmental D		1011			66,202.59	:	<u> -</u>		
21	AMI Culvert TS&					-	ŀ	8,220.79		-
	Design Study Re					_		3,235.07		2,965.02
	Drainage Report					_		10,472.36	1	0,077.99
16	DIRECT EXPEN	SES				44,318.00		42,368.49		567.95
	SUBCONTRACT	ORS DI	RECT EXPENS	ES		31,342.44		9,660.10		-
	SUBCONTRACT	FOR LAE	BOR			367,928.70		358,992.48		-
				Totals	\$	964,055.14	\$	878,788.72	\$ 1	3,759.44
			/ 1	Fee Totals	<b>※</b>		•	53,423.00 932,211.72	\$ 1	3,759.44
			A.L.	MILL MORALS	888	************	Ψ	952,211.12		7
	Project Manager:			> Diring	<u></u>			Date:	(1/2	109
	Agreement Admin	ictrator	1 7	1.11 711	1. 0			Date:	1171	1116