ABBREVIATED MINUTES POCATELLO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Special Meeting August 22, 2002

Members present: T. Brower, S. Brown, J.O. Cotant, H. Neuhardt, J. Ricks, D. Schroeder

Staff present: Dean Tranmer, City Attorney; Ray Burstedt and Char DeWall, Bannock

Development

Also present: Cac Turner, Tim Tingey, Greg Lanning, Mitch Greer

Meeting called to order at 11:05 by Chairman Neuhardt

1. Roosevelt bid results. M. Greer reported that the project has exceeded the amount of money previously estimated to the Board by slightly more than \$40,000.00. There was only one bid and it exceeded the estimate by slightly more than \$5,000.00. These "overages" will require expenditure of over half the contingency funds for the entire project—yet this phase covers less than one third of the project area. Discussion ensued about the advisability of proceeding under these circumstances. It was MSC (Schroeder, Cotant) to adjourn into executive session at 11: 15 in order to consider and advise its legal representatives in pending litigation or where there is a general public awareness of probable/possible litigation. fer with legal counsel.

Regular meeting reconvened at 11:25 a.m. Board discussed with engineer M. Greer the feasibility of revising the scope of the project to see if it could be altered to be closer to the original estimates and whether that would require postponing bidding until early spring. M. Greer responded that it would be possible to revise the scope and even to coordinate with the City on a project within the district boundaries, but that his firm could not have the revisions available until the November board meeting, which would preclude any work this year. After further discussion of the pros and cons, it was MSC (Brown, Schroeder) to reject the bid in favor of revising the scope of the project, receiving new estimates from the engineering firm, and re-bidding the revised project in the spring (including the entire project area within this TIF District). The Board consensus was that bidding the entire project might result in more favorable construction costs.

2. Payment for invoice #E7. Board was asked to ratify the payment to HK for this invoice. The invoice was discussed at a previous meeting, members all concurring in its approval for payment, but after the check was issued, it was discovered that no official action was taken. It was MSC (Brown, Cotant) to have the record reflect that the Board does approve the payment of invoice #7 for the Stormwater project.

A. Malentine

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

MINUTES PDA EXECUTIVE SESSION AUGUST 22, 2002

The Board convened in executive session at 11:15 a.m. pursuant to motion duly made, in accordance with Idaho Code 67-2345(f), seconded, and carried during its special meeting of August 22, 2002. Members requested and received legal advice from legal Counsel Dean Tranmer, who responded to several questions.

It was MSC (Ricks, Schroeder) to adjourn back into the special session at 11:25 a.m.

Palentine